

Introducing Philosophy through Pop Culture

From Socrates to *South Park*,
Hume to *House*

Edited by William Irwin and
David Kyle Johnson

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

Introducing Philosophy through Pop Culture

Introducing Philosophy through Pop Culture

From Socrates to *South Park*,
Hume to *House*

Edited by William Irwin and
David Kyle Johnson

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

This edition first published 2010

© 2010 John Wiley & Sons Inc. except for editorial material and organization

© 2010 William Irwin and David Kyle Johnson

Blackwell Publishing was acquired by John Wiley & Sons in February 2007. Blackwell's publishing program has been merged with Wiley's global Scientific, Technical, and Medical business to form Wiley-Blackwell.

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, United Kingdom

Editorial Offices

350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services, and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

The right of William Irwin and David Kyle Johnson to be identified as the authors of the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Introducing philosophy through pop culture : from Socrates to South Park, Hume to House / edited by William Irwin and David Kyle Johnson.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4443-3453-1 (pbk.)

1. Philosophy and civilization. 2. Popular culture--Philosophy. I. Irwin, William, 1970--

II. Johnson, David Kyle.

B59.I59 2010

100--dc22

2010016191

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Set in 10.5/13pt Minion by Graphicraft Limited, Hong Kong

Printed in Singapore

01 2010

Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Introduction	1
Part I: What is Philosophy?	3
Introduction	3
<i>Socrates and the Spirit of Philosophy</i>	
1 Flatulence and Philosophy: A Lot of Hot Air, or the Corruption of Youth? <i>William W. Young III</i>	5
<i>Logic and Fallacies</i>	
2 The Chewbacca Defense: A <i>South Park</i> Logic Lesson <i>Robert Arp</i>	14
<i>Relativism and Truth</i>	
3 Wikiality, Truthiness, and Gut Thinking: Doing Philosophy Colbert-Style <i>David Kyle Johnson</i>	25
Part II: Epistemology	37
Introduction	37
<i>The Ethics of Belief</i>	
4 You Know, I Learned Something Today: Stan Marsh and the Ethics of Belief <i>Henry Jacoby</i>	39

	<i>Skepticism</i>	
5	Tumbling Down the Rabbit Hole: Knowledge, Reality, and the Pit of Skepticism <i>Matt Lawrence</i>	46
	<i>The Definition of Knowledge, the Gettier Problem, and Faith</i>	
6	Adama's True Lie: Earth and the Problem of Knowledge <i>Eric J. Silverman</i>	57
Part III: Metaphysics		67
	Introduction	67
	<i>Philosophy of Mind</i>	
7	Mind and Body in Zion <i>Matt Lawrence</i>	69
	<i>Personal Identity</i>	
8	Amnesia, Personal Identity, and the Many Lives of Wolverine <i>Jason Southworth</i>	82
	<i>Freedom and Determinism</i>	
9	Destiny in the Wizarding World <i>Jeremy Pierce</i>	89
	<i>Artificial Intelligence, The Turing Test, and the Chinese Room</i>	
10	The Terminator Wins: Is the Extinction of the Human Race the End of People, or Just the Beginning? <i>Greg Littmann</i>	99
Part IV: Philosophy of Religion		109
	Introduction	109
	<i>The Problem of Evil</i>	
11	Cartmanland and the Problem of Evil <i>David Kyle Johnson</i>	111
	<i>Faith Seeking Understanding</i>	
12	Aquinas and Rose on Faith and Reason <i>Daniel B. Gallagher</i>	119
	<i>Arguments for the Existence of God</i>	
13	"I Am an Instrument of God": Religious Belief, Atheism, and Meaning <i>Jason T. Eberl and Jennifer A. Vines</i>	128

Part V: Ethics	139
Introduction	139
<i>Why Be Moral?</i>	
14 Plato on Gyges' Ring of Invisibility: The Power of <i>Heroes</i> and the Value of Virtue	141
<i>Don Adams</i>	
<i>Virtue Ethics</i>	
15 The Virtues of Humor: What <i>The Office</i> Can Teach Us About Aristotle's Ethics	151
<i>Sean McAleer</i>	
<i>Utilitarianism and Deontology</i>	
16 Why Doesn't Batman Kill the Joker?	163
<i>Mark D. White</i>	
17 Means, Ends, and the Critique of Pure Superheroes	172
<i>J. Robert Loftis</i>	
Part VI: Challenges to Traditional Ethics	183
Introduction	183
<i>Nietzschean and Marxist Critique</i>	
18 Metallica, Nietzsche, and Marx: The Immorality of Morality	185
<i>Peter S. Fosl</i>	
19 When Machines Get Souls: Nietzsche on the Cylon Uprising	194
<i>Robert Sharp</i>	
<i>Existentialist Ethics</i>	
20 Being-in- <i>The Office</i> : Sartre, the Look, and the Viewer	204
<i>Matthew P. Meyer and Greg J. Schneider</i>	
21 Batman's Confrontation with Death, Angst, and Freedom	213
<i>David M. Hart</i>	
<i>Feminist Critique</i>	
22 "You Care for Everybody": Cameron's Ethics of Care	221
<i>Renee Kyle</i>	
23 Vampire Love: The Second Sex Negotiates the Twenty-First Century	228
<i>Bonnie Mann</i>	
<i>Postmodern Critique</i>	
24 Killing the Griffins: A Murderous Exposition of Postmodernism	238
<i>J. Jeremy Wisniewski</i>	

Part VII: Social and Political Philosophy	247
Introduction	247
<i>Social Contract Theory</i>	
25 <i>Lost's State of Nature</i>	249
<i>Richard Davies</i>	
<i>Marxism</i>	
26 Laughter Between Distraction and Awakening: Marxist Themes in <i>The Office</i>	260
<i>Michael Bray</i>	
<i>Torture</i>	
27 The Ethics of Torture in 24: Shockingly Banal	269
<i>Dónal P. O'Mathúna</i>	
<i>Race</i>	
28 Mutants and the Metaphysics of Race	280
<i>Jeremy Pierce</i>	
Part VIII: Eastern Views	287
Introduction	287
<i>Zen</i>	
29 Zen and the Art of Cylon Maintenance	289
<i>James McRae</i>	
30 The Sound of One House Clapping: The Unmannerly Doctor as Zen Rhetorician	299
<i>Jeffrey C. Ruff and Jeremy Barris</i>	
<i>Taoism</i>	
31 The Tao of the Bat	308
<i>Mark D. White</i>	
Part IX: The Meaning of Life	317
Introduction	317
<i>The Theistic View</i>	
32 Beyond Godric's Hollow: Life after Death and the Search for Meaning	319
<i>Jonathan L. Walls and Jerry L. Walls</i>	
<i>The Socratic View</i>	
33 Selfish, Base Animals Crawling Across the Earth: House and the Meaning of Life	327
<i>Henry Jacoby</i>	
Glossary	334
Notes on Contributors	338
Sources	343
Index	347

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our friends and colleagues who offered feedback and advice in assembling this volume, especially Dave Baggett, Greg Bassham, and Jason Eberl. We would also like to thank Andrew Morton for permission to use his glossary definitions. Thanks are also due to Jeff Dean and a bevy of anonymous reviewers who helped us shape the volume. Kyle wishes to thank his wife Lori for her love and support. Bill wishes to thank his wife Megan and his children Daniel and Kate for making home so happy and philosophy-friendly.

Introduction

Philosophy has a public relations problem. Just the sound of the word “philosophy” scares a lot of people, conjuring images of long-dead Greeks and crusty old professors. But the stereotypes of philosophy are just that – stereotypes. They are mistaken exaggerations and overgeneralizations. Western Philosophy may have begun in Ancient Greece, but it is alive and well in contemporary America and around the globe. Some philosophy professors may be egg-headed, ivory tower intellectuals, but most are not. In fact, many philosophy professors like the same things you like: television, movies, music, and video games. We see connections between these elements of pop culture and philosophy. So this book, written by philosophy professors, takes you from pop culture to philosophy; we wade into the shallow water before swimming out deep. Each chapter focuses on a piece of pop culture, like *Harry Potter* or *The Office*, and teaches you about a particular issue in philosophy or the views of a particular philosopher. We think you’ll agree that, to paraphrase a classic Disney truism, a spoonful of pop culture helps the philosophy go down.

The idea of using examples to facilitate learning is not new to philosophy. Famously, Plato (429–347 BCE) used the story of the ring of Gyges, and Descartes (1596–1650) imagined a deceitful demon. However, most examples in philosophy are rather dry – finding people with bland names like Jones and Brown in difficult to describe circumstances, such as those in which we are potentially justified in believing that “Jones owns a Ford, or Brown is in Barcelona.” Thankfully, Hollywood writers do a much better job of creating engaging, imaginative scenarios than philosophers do. So why not use their creations to add spice to philosophy? As you’ll discover in this book, *The Matrix* provides a vivid way of picturing Descartes’ concerns about deception and knowledge, and *South Park* hilariously dramatizes the problem of evil by asking why good things (like inheriting a million dollars) happen to bad people (like Cartman). Indeed, many other insightful philosophical illustrations from pop culture await your reading.

Now, of course, you may be concerned that you're in trouble because in addition to being clueless about philosophy you're also clueless about *The Matrix* and *South Park*. There's no need to worry. You don't have to be an expert on Batman or to have seen every episode of *House* to benefit from this book. Even a passing acquaintance with the pop culture icon discussed in any given chapter will be enough for you to learn the philosophy to which it is connected. You can get that easily enough on the Internet. In fact, you can visit the website for this book at www.pop-philosophy.org for all kinds of helpful up-to-date links.

In sum, this book is intended to make initial connections between pop culture and philosophy that will pique your interest in the latter and lead you to study and appreciate the subject more deeply. Maybe you'll even decide to tell your friends that philosophy has gotten a bad rap. Certainly, we believe you'll find that philosophy is relevant, fun, and exciting.

How to Use this Book in a Philosophy Course

This book is intended to serve primarily as a supplementary text in Introduction to Philosophy courses. Introductory courses are structured in a variety of different ways depending on the professor. Some courses are questions and issues based, some are historically based. Some courses use a standard textbook; others rely on primary philosophical texts. Others mix it up and use a combination of approaches. This book is designed to go along with any of them. However, this book is not intended to cover all philosophical issues and figures in exhaustive detail. We leave that for the main text and the professor.

This book can be used in a variety of ways in the classroom. Its chapters can be used to introduce a philosophical topic unfamiliar to the student. Assigning a summary of the chapter can ensure the student reads it and is better prepared for a lecture on the topic of the chapter. Each chapter could also be used for philosophical reflection; you might consider having your students write reflection or argument papers in response to them. If you are worried about whether your students are familiar with the relevant pop culture phenomena, there is a wiki site for each pop culture phenomenon discussed (e.g., heroeswiki.com) that can provide a quick and easy summary. Other suggestions for professors on how to use this book in courses are available at www.pop-philosophy.org.

Part I

What is Philosophy?

Introduction

The word “philosophy” is often confused with the words “opinion,” “theory” or “approach” – as in, “What is your philosophy of life?” or “Our philosophy is never to be undersold!” As a result, some students have mistaken ideas about what a philosophy class is. “Can you even give a wrong answer in a philosophy class? Isn’t it just whatever you think?” Well, yes you can, and no it’s not.

The word “philosophy” comes from the Greek language and means “love of wisdom.” Philosophers seek truth and wisdom above all else. The questions for which true answers are most important, but most elusive, form the core of philosophy. What is the nature of reality? What is knowledge, and how can one attain it? Is there a God? What is the nature of good and evil? How can I live a good life? How should we govern ourselves? What is the meaning of life? So how do philosophers seek answers these questions? Are there really answers? Or is whatever anyone thinks just “true for them” because they have a “right to their opinion”? What role does philosophy play in society? And, what attitude does philosophy require?

In his chapter, William Young argues that philosophy and the TV show *South Park* share some common aims. Like the philosopher Socrates (469–399 BCE), *South Park* is charged with corrupting the youth, inappropriately challenging moral norms, and being a social nuisance. But, the accusations are unfounded for both Socrates and *South Park*. The accusers are actually the corruptors; for example, parents corrupt the youth when they leave their kids to be raised by television without educating them about what they are seeing. Thankfully *South Park*, like Socrates, teaches us to draw our own conclusions – not merely accept the consensus of the crowd – and to reach those conclusions by considering the perspectives of others. Clearly, Young argues, *South Park* is not mindless and harmful; the show, like philosophy, is a gadfly, “an annoying pest that goes around ‘stinging people’ with . . . challenging questions and critical reflections so as to keep them intellectually awake and on their toes.”

These philosophy and popular culture texts do this superbly. In *Philosophy and the Simpsons*, for example, Raja Halwani takes Aristotle's theory of virtue from the *Nicomachean Ethics* and with crisp clarity demonstrates how Homer fails to measure up. I am willing to believe that some students, otherwise unmoved by Aristotle's classic text, could use Homer to experience a new twinge of curiosity or insight about how one should understand a good character. Many have reported such moments of enlightenment from reconsidering something they already understand. That patronizing stance is suggested in the Blackwell desire to use the sugar of pop culture to eliminate the cobwebs from Kant. Reference <http://www.imdb.com/title/tt1431045> quotes *Introducing Philosophy through Pop Culture* from HUM 300 at Excelsior College. This preview shows page 3 - 7 out of 10 pages. Reference: *Introducing Philosophy through Pop Culture* from Socrates to South Park, Hume to House (p. 151-152) *Virtue Ethics*. Nihilism – Nihilism: the rejection of all religious and moral principles, often in the belief that life is meaningless. – Deadpool: "I should've come and found you sooner, but the guy under this mask, he ain't the same one that you remember". – Start by marking *Introducing Philosophy Through Pop Culture: From Socrates to South Park, Hume to House* as Want to Read: Want to Read savingâ€¦| Want to Read. To that reviewer, introductory philosophy needed to be more welcoming, and that focusing that narrowly was inappropriate. I protested vigorously, but got nowhere in my So this is it - I've reviewed the last chapter, and the journey of reviewing the book chapter by chapter has left me in a reflective mood. It all started because, while reviewing the book for fun, I ran across a bad, 1-star review that panned the book (without reading it) for reaching out only to a narrow section of pop culture. To that reviewer, introductory philosophy needed to be more welcoming, and that focusing that na