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Introduction

Philosophy has a public relations problem. Just the sound of the word “philosophy” scares a lot of people, conjuring images of long-dead Greeks and crusty old professors. But the stereotypes of philosophy are just that – stereotypes. They are mistaken exaggerations and overgeneralizations. Western Philosophy may have begun in Ancient Greece, but it is alive and well in contemporary America and around the globe. Some philosophy professors may be egg-headed, ivory tower intellectuals, but most are not. In fact, many philosophy professors like the same things you like: television, movies, music, and video games. We see connections between these elements of pop culture and philosophy. So this book, written by philosophy professors, takes you from pop culture to philosophy; we wade into the shallow water before swimming out deep. Each chapter focuses on a piece of pop culture, like *Harry Potter* or *The Office*, and teaches you about a particular issue in philosophy or the views of a particular philosopher. We think you’ll agree that, to paraphrase a classic Disney truism, a spoonful of pop culture helps the philosophy go down.

The idea of using examples to facilitate learning is not new to philosophy. Famously, Plato (429–347 BCE) used the story of the ring of Gyges, and Descartes (1596–1650) imagined a deceitful demon. However, most examples in philosophy are rather dry – finding people with bland names like Jones and Brown in difficult to describe circumstances, such as those in which we are potentially justified in believing that “Jones owns a Ford, or Brown is in Barcelona.” Thankfully, Hollywood writers do a much better job of creating engaging, imaginative scenarios than philosophers do. So why not use their creations to add spice to philosophy? As you’ll discover in this book, *The Matrix* provides a vivid way of picturing Descartes’ concerns about deception and knowledge, and *South Park* hilariously dramatizes the problem of evil by asking why good things (like inheriting a million dollars) happen to bad people (like Cartman). Indeed, many other insightful philosophical illustrations from pop culture await your reading.
Now, of course, you may be concerned that you’re in trouble because in addi-
tion to being clueless about philosophy you’re also clueless about The Matrix and
South Park. There’s no need to worry. You don’t have to be an expert on Batman
or to have seen every episode of House to benefit from this book. Even a passing
acquaintance with the pop culture icon discussed in any given chapter will be enough
for you to learn the philosophy to which it is connected. You can get that easily
enough on the Internet. In fact, you can visit the website for this book at www.
pop-philosophy.org for all kinds of helpful up-to-date links.

In sum, this book is intended to make initial connections between pop culture
and philosophy that will pique your interest in the latter and lead you to study and
appreciate the subject more deeply. Maybe you’ll even decide to tell your friends
that philosophy has gotten a bad rap. Certainly, we believe you’ll find that philo-
sophy is relevant, fun, and exciting.

**How to Use this Book in a Philosophy Course**

This book is intended to serve primarily as a supplementary text in Introduction
to Philosophy courses. Introductory courses are structured in a variety of different
ways depending on the professor. Some courses are questions and issues based, some
are historically based. Some courses use a standard textbook; others rely on primary
philosophical texts. Others mix it up and use a combination of approaches. This
book is designed to go along with any of them. However, this book is not intended
to cover all philosophical issues and figures in exhaustive detail. We leave that for
the main text and the professor.

This book can be used in a variety of ways in the classroom. Its chapters can
be used to introduce a philosophical topic unfamiliar to the student. Assigning
a summary of the chapter can ensure the student reads it and is better prepared
for a lecture on the topic of the chapter. Each chapter could also be used for
philosophical reflection; you might consider having your students write reflection
or argument papers in response to them. If you are worried about whether your
students are familiar with the relevant pop culture phenomena, there is a wiki
site for each pop culture phenomenon discussed (e.g., heroeswiki.com) that can
provide a quick and easy summary. Other suggestions for professors on how to use
this book in courses are available at www.pop-philosophy.org.
Part I

What is Philosophy?

Introduction

The word “philosophy” is often confused with the words “opinion,” “theory” or “approach” – as in, “What is your philosophy of life?” or “Our philosophy is never to be undersold!” As a result, some students have mistaken ideas about what a philosophy class is. “Can you even give a wrong answer in a philosophy class? Isn’t it just whatever you think?” Well, yes you can, and no it’s not.

The word “philosophy” comes from the Greek language and means “love of wisdom.” Philosophers seek truth and wisdom above all else. The questions for which true answers are most important, but most elusive, form the core of philosophy. What is the nature of reality? What is knowledge, and how can one attain it? Is there a God? What is the nature of good and evil? How can I live a good life? How should we govern ourselves? What is the meaning of life? So how do philosophers seek answers these questions? Are there really answers? Or is whatever anyone thinks just “true for them” because they have a “right to their opinion”? What role does philosophy play in society? And, what attitude does philosophy require?

In his chapter, William Young argues that philosophy and the TV show South Park share some common aims. Like the philosopher Socrates (469–399 BCE), South Park is charged with corrupting the youth, inappropriately challenging moral norms, and being a social nuisance. But, the accusations are unfounded for both Socrates and South Park. The accusers are actually the corruptors; for example, parents corrupt the youth when they leave their kids to be raised by television without educating them about what they are seeing. Thankfully South Park, like Socrates, teaches us to draw our own conclusions – not merely accept the consensus of the crowd – and to reach those conclusions by considering the perspectives of others. Clearly, Young argues, South Park is not mindless and harmful; the show, like philosophy, is a gadfly, “an annoying pest that goes around ‘stinging people’ with . . . challenging questions and critical reflections so as to keep them intellectually awake and on their toes.”
These philosophy and popular culture texts do this superbly. In Philosophy and the Simpsons, for example, Raja Halwani takes Aristotle’s theory of virtue from the Nichomachean Ethics and with crisp clarity demonstrates how Homer fails to measure up. I am willing to believe that some students, otherwise unmoved by Aristotle’s classic text, could use Homer to experience a new twinge of curiosity or insight about how one should understand a good character. Many have reported such moments of enlightenment from reconsidering something they already understand. That patronizing stance is suggested in the Blackwell desire to use the sugar of pop culture to eliminate the cobwebs from Kant. Reference httpwwimdbcomtitlett1431045quotes Introducing Philosophy through Pop from HUM 300 at Excelsior College. This preview shows page 3 - 7 out of 10 pages. Reference: Introducing Philosophy through Pop Culture from Socrates to South Park, Hume to House (p. 151-152) Virtue Ethics. Nihilism Nihilism: the rejection of all religious and moral principles, often in the belief that life is meaningless. Deadpool: should’ve come and found you sooner, but the guy under this mask, he ain't the same one that you remember. Start by marking as Want to Read: Want to Read saving. To that reviewer, introductory philosophy needed to be more welcoming, and that focusing that narrowly was inappropriate. I protested vigorously, but got nowhere in my So this is it - I’ve reviewed the last chapter, and the journey of reviewing the book chapter by chapter has left me in a reflective mood. It all started because, while reviewing the book for fun, I ran across a bad, 1-star review that panned the book (without reading it) for reaching out only to a narrow section of pop culture. To that reviewer, introductory philosophy needed to be more welcoming, and that focusing that na